

## Federal Regulatory Affairs 2300 N St. NW, Suite 710 Washington DC 20037

www.Frontier.com

March 8, 2011

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> St., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Implementation of Section 224 of the Act, WC Dkt. No. 07-245; A National Broadband Plan for Our Future, GN Docket No. 09-51

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On March 7, 2011, Greg Brubaker, Senior Consultant—Engineering, Frontier Communications and the undersigned for Frontier Communications met with Margaret McCarthy, Policy Advisor for Broadband, Wireline, and Universal Service to Commissioner Copps, regarding the above-reference proceedings.

Frontier explained that the traditional balance presumed for pole attachment joint use agreements between ILECs and utilities is no longer applicable as utilities now control a significantly higher percentage of poles than ILECs. Because there is no longer parity in the relationship, utilities have gained significant leverage in joint use agreements that they have used to dramatically increase the pole attachment rates for ILECs. These increased rates for pole attachments make it even more difficult for ILECs to deploy and operate broadband networks in rural areas, and place ILECs at a competitive disadvantage to cable companies and CLECs in the broadband marketplace. Frontier urged the Commission to correct the competitive inequities in pole attachment rates by ensuring that rates for all broadband attachers are uniformly low, in line with the goals of the National Broadband Plan. The attached presentation illustrated these points.

Please feel free to contact me with any further questions.

Sincerely,

/s/

Michael D. Saperstein, Jr. Director of Federal Regulatory Affairs Frontier Communications (203) 614-4702

Cc: Margaret McCarthy